Simulation of Qubit Quantum Circuits via Pauli Propagation quant-ph/1901.09070

Introduction

We study two novel **Pauli propagation** algorithms to estimate the outcomes of quantum circuits on qubits. They support any quantum circuit with noise, but are more efficient when the circuit is built from Clifford gates: Hadamard, Phase, and CNOT.

Bennink et al. [1] give a similar algorithm called **stabilizer** propagation, the only previously known noisy near-Clifford simulator for qubit circuits. Pashayan et al. [2] gave a protocol that works for qutrit circuits using the discrete Wigner function.

All these algorithms estimate the mean of some probability distribution via many samples. Pauli propagation takes linear time to sample, and never writes down a stabilizer state in the process. The number of samples can scale exponentially.

Runtime Analysis

Hoeffding inequality gives a condition to achieve accuracy ε with probability 1 - δ in terms of the maximum value of the distribution:

$$\# \text{ samples} \geq rac{2}{arepsilon^2} \cdot \ln rac{2}{\delta} \cdot \left(ext{maximum}
ight)^2$$

The sampling algorithms output the product of many cost terms, one for each input state, quantum channel, and observable. When the cost is > 1 the range grows exponentially. Therefore, a component is **efficient** when its cost is less than 1.



Efficient Input States

Stabilizer propagation can efficiently simulate input states that are **mixtures of stabilizer states**. Schrödinger propagation can simulate larger class of **hyper-octahedral states**, defined by:

$\mathcal{D}(ho) \leq 1$

According to the Hilbert-Schmidt measure, hyper-octahedral states are much more plentiful. The runtime of Heisenberg propagation does not depend on the input state at all.

Sampling Paulis

Let A be any hermitian matrix. Consider the completely dependent random variables $\hat{c}(A)$ and $\hat{\sigma}(A)$ below. They are an estimator: $\mathbb{E}(\hat{c} \cdot \hat{\sigma}) = A$.

 $\hat{\sigma}(A) = \sigma$ with prob. $\frac{|\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma A)|}{2^n \cdot \mathcal{D}(A)}$ for each Pauli σ

 $\hat{c}(A) = \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\sigma}A)) \cdot \mathcal{D}(A)$

The stabilizer norm $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a constant that makes the above a PDF:

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{Paulis } \sigma} |\text{Tr}(\sigma A)|$$

When A is a tensor product of operators, each acting on a constant number of qubits, \hat{c} and $\hat{\sigma}$ can be sampled from efficiently.

Sampling Stabilizer States

The **robustness of magic** $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ gives another estimator $\mathbb{E}(\hat{d} \cdot \hat{\varphi}) = \rho$, via stab. states $\{|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|\}$:

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho) = \min_{\vec{q}} \sum_{i} |q_{i}| \text{ s.t. } \rho = \sum_{i} q_{i} |\varphi_{i}\rangle\langle\varphi_{i}|$$
$$\hat{\phi}(\rho) = |\varphi_{i}\rangle\langle\varphi_{i}| \text{ and } \hat{d}(\rho) = \operatorname{sign}(q) \mathcal{R}(\rho) \text{ w.p. } \frac{|q_{i}|}{\mathcal{R}(\rho)}$$

Heisenberg Propagation

Previous Work: Stabilizer Propagation

1. Sample a $\hat{\varphi}$ and \hat{d} for the input state ρ operator = sample from $\widehat{\varphi}(\rho)$ output = sample from $\widehat{d}(
ho)$ maximum = $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$

Efficient Channels

We exploit channel-state duality to map two-qubit states to qubit-to-qubit quantum channels. Pauli propagation methods consistently outperform stabilizer propagation for these channels.

Consider a channel $\Lambda_{f,\theta}$ which is the unitary $e^{-i\theta Z/2}$ composed with a depolarizing channel with fidelity f. Pauli propagation simulates more of these channels.





Patrick Rall, Daniel Liang, Jeremy Cook, William Kretschmer patrickjrall@gmail.com

from 1 to m

Circuit: $\Lambda_1 o \Lambda_2 o \dots o \Lambda_m$ Eobservable quantum channels input state

Schrödinger Propagation

1. Sample a $\hat{\sigma}$ and \hat{c} for the input state ρ operator = sample from $\hat{\sigma}(\rho)$

output = sample from $\widehat{c}(
ho)$

maximum = $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$

2. For each *i*, sample a $\hat{\sigma}$ and \hat{c} for $\Lambda_i(\mathsf{op})$ operator = sample from $\widehat{\sigma}(\Lambda_i($ operator output *= sample from $\widehat{c}(\Lambda_i($ operat maximum *= max $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_i(\sigma))$ Paulis σ

Channel adjoint $\Lambda^{^{\intercal}}$ of

- 1. Sample a $\hat{\sigma}$ and \hat{c} for the observable Eoperator = sample from $\widehat{\sigma}(E)$ output = sample from $\widehat{c}(E)$ maximum = $\mathcal{D}(E)$
- from m to 12. For each i, sample a $\hat{\sigma}$ and \hat{c} for $\Lambda_i^{\dagger}(\mathsf{ope}$ operator = sample from $\widehat{\sigma}(\Lambda_i^{\dagger}(\texttt{operator}))$ output *= sample from $\widehat{c}(\Lambda_i^{\mathsf{T}}(\texttt{operator}))$ maximum *= max $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_i^{\mathsf{T}}(\sigma))$ Paulis σ
- from 1 to m2. For each *i*, sample a $\hat{\varphi}$ and \hat{d} for Λ_i (operator) operator = sample from $\widehat{\varphi}(\Lambda_i(\texttt{operator}))$ output *= sample from $\widehat{d}(\Lambda_i(\text{operator}))$ maximum *= max $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_i(|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|))$ stab. states $|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$





This work was supported by Scott Aaronson. [1] quant-ph/1703.00111 [2] quant-ph/1503.07525

The University of Texas at Austin Quantum Information Center

Goal: estimate $\operatorname{Tr}[E \cdot \Lambda_m(...\Lambda_2(\Lambda_1(\rho))...)]$ output is an unbiased estimator

erator)	3. Take inner product with observable
ator))	$\texttt{output} *= \mathrm{Tr}(\texttt{operator} \cdot E)$
tor))	$\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{maximum} \ \texttt{*=} \max \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma E) \\ \mathrm{Paulis} \sigma \end{array}$
	return output, maximum
${ m f} \Lambda { m satisfies} { m Tr}(\Lambda(A) \cdot B) = { m Tr}(A \cdot \Lambda^{\dagger}\!\!(B))$	
erator)	3. Take inner product with input $ ho$

 $\texttt{output} *= \operatorname{Tr}(\texttt{operator} \cdot
ho)$ $= \max \operatorname{Tr}(\sigma \rho))$ maximum *= 1 Paulis σ return output, maximum

3. Take inner product with observable $\texttt{output} *= \operatorname{Tr}(\texttt{operator} \cdot E)$ maximum *= max Tr($|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|E$) stab. states $|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$ return output, maximum

Unital Channels

Not efficient 5% Stabilizer & Schrödinger Schrödinger 22% 7% Stabilizer & Schrödinger 1% Not efficient 77% Schrödinger Unital and TP Channels Trace Preserving Channels Not efficient 5% Not efficient 55% Schrödinger 8% Stabilizer & Heisenberg Heisenberg 6% Heisenberg Heisenberg & 39% Schrödinger 25% 54%